INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: FILE NUMBER:				Omaha District, South Dakota Regulatory Office 200430442							
REGULATORY PROJECT N	ATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Andy Mitzel Date: 1/19/200					<u> 2005</u>					
PROJECT REVIEW/DETER PROJECT LOCATION INFO			PLETE			-	Date: <u>1/19/2</u> //N) Date:	<u>2005</u> -			
State:					Dakota						
County: Center coordinates o	of site by b	atitude &	longitu	dinal coor	dinates:	Brow Lat 4		Long - 98	-27-01.7122		
Approximate size of site/property (including upland Name of waterway or watershed:											
SITE CONDITIONS:											
Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25	ac 25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown		
Lake											
River											
Stream											
Dry Wash											
Mudflat											
Sandflat											
Wetlands	X/4	X									
Slough											
Prairie pothole											
Wet meadow											
Playa lake											
Vernal pool											
Natural pond											
Other water (identify type)											
=											
¹ Check appropriate boxes that be jurisdictional aquatic resource ar		type of iso	lated, noi	ı-navigable	, intra-state	e water present	and best estimat	e for size of	non-		
Migratory Bird Rule Factors¹:				If Kı	nown	1	If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment				
				Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Predicted Not Expected to Not Able To Make				
Is or would be used as habitat for	birds prot	ected by				X/5					

Migratory bird Kule Factors:	II KIIOWII		II CHKHOWH					
			Use Best Professional Judgment					
	Yes	No	Predicted	Not Expected to	Not Able To Make			
			to Occur	Occur	Determination			
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by			X/5					
Migratory Bird Treaties?								
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that			X/5					
cross state lines?								
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?					X/5			
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?				X/5				
Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated,								
non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.								

TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary \square Or Approved \boxtimes .

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):The 60 acre site has historically been used for row crop production, and contains 5 isolated wetlands. There is a PEMC (0.6 acresin size) wetland and 4 PEMA (1.3 acres, 0.3 acres, 0.2 acres, and 0.08 acres in size) wetlands on the site. Aerial photography (NRCS 2003 & Late 1990's), NWI data, NRCS Wetland Determination (official or inventory), Brown County SSURGO data/Hydric Soil list, were reviewed and no surface hydrological connection was found, nor were the wetlands considered adjacent in the opinion of the project reviewer. No known interstate commerce connection is known to exist, and there is no reasonable potential for navigation on these wetlands. No other waters occur on the site.